Evaluation of Pharmaceutical Quality of Conventional Dosage Forms Containing Paracetamol and Caffeine Available in the Turkish Drug Market
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

The aim of this study was to evaluate the quality of conventional paracetamol- (PA) and caffeine- (CA) containing combined dosage forms in the Turkish drug market. For this purpose, weight variation, content uniformity, diameter and thickness, hardness, friability, disintegration, and dissolution tests were carried out. Content uniformity and dissolution tests were performed by a validated high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method. Separations were carried on an ACE 5–C\textsubscript{18}, 5-µm LC column (250 × 4.6 mm) using isocratic elution with a methanol/water (40:60 v/v) mobile phase. The injection volume was 20 μL, and UV detection was performed at 270 nm. The weight variation results were in accordance with content uniformity results. All dosage forms fulfilled the USP requirement of not less than 75% of active ingredients of the labeled claim dissolved within 60 min. Also, all tablets met the rapidly dissolving criterion (more than 85% of the labeled amount of the drug substance dissolved within 30 min). The results of this study indicate that PA- and CA-containing conventional dosage forms available in the Turkish drug market pass all the established quality control tests successfully, and they can be used interchangeably.
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**Apparatus and Equipment**
A Thermo Finnigan Survey HPLC equipped with a UV-diode array detector and an isocratic/gradient pump was used for the HPLC analysis. Hardness, diameter, and thickness of the tablets were measured using a Pharma Test PTB 311E, 3-in-1 hardness, diameter, and thickness tester (Hainburg, Germany). Friability of the dosage forms was determined via a Pharma Test Variable Speed Friabilitor PTF 10ER (Hainburg, Germany). Dissolution studies were performed with a Pharma Test DT70 dissolution test apparatus (Hainburg, Germany). A Sartorius AX224 analytical balance (Goettingen, Germany) was used to weigh the tablets.

**Quality Control Tests**
Tests for weight variation, friability, diameters and thickness, hardness, disintegration time, dissolution, and content uniformity of the active ingredients (PA and CA) were carried out on the tablets as the QC tests.

**Weight Variation Measurements**
Weight variation is used to show tablet content uniformity. For the determination of weight variation, 10 tablets from each commercial brand were chosen randomly and then weighed individually using an analytical balance. The mean weight and standard deviation were calculated.

**Friability Test**
A friability test is performed to determine the ability of tablets to withstand abrasion during packaging, handling, and shipping processes. To determine the friability of the tablets, 10 tablets from each commercial brand were weighed separately, and each set of tablets was placed into the friabilator. Then, the tablets were rotated at 25 rpm for 4 min (100 revolutions). After 100 revolutions, the tablets were removed and weighed again. The weight was compared with the initial weight. The loss due to abrasion was taken as a measure of tablet friability, and its value was expressed as a percentage. A maximum weight loss of not more than 1% is generally considered acceptable.

**Measurement of Diameter, Thickness, and Width**
The diameter, thickness, and width of all commercial brands tested were measured with a Pharma Test PTB 311E tester. Results are expressed as the mean and standard deviation.

**Hardness Test**
The hardness of 10 tablets for each brand was measured by using a hardness tester per USP guidelines (8). The tablet hardness tester measures the degree of force in kilopounds (Kp) required to break a tablet across the diameter.

**Disintegration Test**
A disintegration test was performed according to the USP guideline (9). The disintegration time of tablets (n=6) was determined at 37 ± 2 °C in water using a disintegration tester.

**Content Uniformity Test**
For each commercial brand, 10 tablets were weighed to obtain the average weight and then finely grounded. An amount of powder equivalent to one tablet weight was transferred to a 100-mL volumetric flask, and 70 mL of mobile phase (MeOH/water, 40:60 v/v) was added. The solution was mixed via vortex for 2 min, sonicated for an additional 20 min, then brought to volume with mobile phase to obtain 5000 μg/mL of PA and 650 μg/mL of CA for the commercial tablets containing 500 mg PA and 65 mg CA, and 5000 μg/mL of PA and 300 μg/mL of CA for tablets containing 500 mg PA and 30 mg CA. An aliquot of these solutions was removed and then spun in a centrifuge at 5000 rpm for 10 min. The solution was filtered using a 0.45-μm membrane filter and diluted with mobile phase up to 100 times before injection into the HPLC system.

**Dissolution Test**
In vitro dissolution tests were performed according to the USP guidelines (10). Dissolution studies were performed on six tablets containing PA and CA in 900 mL of water at 37 ± 0.5 °C using Apparatus 2 (paddle method, 100 rpm). One milliliter of sample was withdrawn and replaced with fresh dissolution medium at predetermined time intervals (0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 min). The samples were diluted 10 times with mobile phase and filtered through a 0.45-μm membrane filter. The concentrations of PA and CA in the samples were determined by a validated HPLC method.

**HPLC Method for Content Uniformity and Dissolution Test**
Separations of compounds were carried on an ACE 5–C18, 5-μm LC column (250 x 4.6 mm) at ambient temperature (22–28 °C) with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. A mixture of methanol/water (40:60 v/v) was used as the mobile phase, and isocratic elution was used. The injection volume was 20 μL, and UV detection was performed at 270 nm. Peak identity was confirmed by comparing the retention times. Calibration standards were prepared by diluting 1000-μg/mL PA and 1000-μg/mL CA stock solutions in methanol. The calibration standards for PA (1.0, 5.0, 10.0, 25.0, 40.0, and 60.0 μg/mL) and CA (0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 3.0, 5.0, 7.0, and 10.0 μg/mL) were injected six times on different days, and calibration curves were constructed. Interday and intraday precision and accuracy of the method were investigated by replicate analyses of PA (10.0, 25.0, and 40.0 μg/mL) and CA (0.5, 5.0, and 7.0 μg/mL) in three different concentration levels for six times in the same day and on different days.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PA is a widely used over-the-counter pain reliever and fever reducer. According to the literature (11, 12), it is one of the top 10 prescription medicines distributed through community pharmacies in many countries, including Turkey. There are many brands and forms of PA available in the market. Although generally safe for use at recommended doses, even small overdoses can be fatal (13, 14). Therefore, it is important to investigate the pharmaceutical quality of PA-containing dosage forms not only for safety but also interchangeability. This situation prompted us to investigate the pharmaceutical quality of conventional dosage forms containing PA and CA available in the Turkish drug market.

The acceptance criteria for the QC tests of a product are generally based on pharmacopeia, in-house (or manufacturer) limits, and specifications. Various standard QC tests such as weight variation, content uniformity, diameter and thickness, hardness, friability, disintegration, and dissolution have been performed on tablets to ensure product quality. HPLC is one of the unique techniques in QC laboratories due to its simplicity and ease of application in pharmaceutical analysis. Based on the results of initial experiments, it was decided to use a methanol/water (40:60 v/v) mixture as the mobile phase instead of buffer solutions. Initial experiments and partial method validation studies showed that the HPLC method specified in this study could be used for further studies on quality control of PA and CA tablet dosage forms. The regression equations for the calibration curve of PA and CA were $y = 80621x + 328.9$ and $y = 18456x - 263.5$, respectively. In the regression equations, $y$ is the peak area of the active pharmaceutical ingredient and $x$ is the concentration in µg/mL. Figure 1a,b represents the chromatograms of PA and CA tablet solutions containing 50.0 µg/mL of PA and 3.0 µg/mL of CA and 50.0 µg/mL of PA and 6.5 µg/mL of CA, respectively.

The precision and accuracy of the HPLC method were investigated by intraday and interday studies. The percent bias and relative standard deviation of the developed method are less than 2%, indicating that the HPLC method is precise and accurate for the simultaneous determination of PA and CA. Also, the very low standard deviation (SD) values for the content uniformity test results support this statement (Table 2).

To assure the consistency of dosage units, the drug content of each unit in a batch should be in a narrow range near the claimed label strength. This can be demonstrated by two methods, namely, content uniformity and weight variation tests. In the content uniformity test, the individual content of a drug substance in a number of individual dosage units is assayed to determine whether the individual content fulfills the set limits. A weight variation test is based on the comparison of individual tablet weights of a sample of tablets with an upper and lower percentage limit of the observed sample average. It is possible that tablets can pass the weight variation requirement but not the content uniformity test. The weight variation and content uniformity results of all dosage forms investigated in this study are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Because the weight of a compressed tablet is dependent on density, diameter, and thickness, determination of the thickness of the tablets at regular intervals during the production may prevent potential problems related to tablet weight. Hence, content uniformity can be detected at an early stage. Together with friability test, the testing of tablet hardness (i.e., breaking force) plays a pivotal role in both product development and subsequent QC. High hardness values may result in increased disintegration times and decreased dissolution times. On the other hand, hardness values that are too low may cause inappropriately high friability values (15). By examining the correlation between QC parameters (e.g., hardness,
Drugs absorption from a solid dosage form following oral administration depends on three different factors: the release of the drug substance from the drug product, the dissolution (or solubilization) of the drug under physiological conditions, and the permeability of the drug across the gastrointestinal tract. Because the first two steps are critical, in vitro drug dissolution study results are useful to predict the in vivo performance of the drug. The dissolution test measures the time required for a particular drug incorporated in an oral solid dosage form to go into solution under specified conditions. Also, in vitro dissolution studies serve as an indispensable part of drug development. The in vitro drug release information obtained from these studies is routinely used for QC purposes. For immediate-release solid oral dosage forms such as tablets and capsules, the in vitro dissolution test can be employed for an assessment of batch-to-batch QC of a drug product, as a guidance for the development of new formulations, and to maintain the product quality and performance after certain changes (i.e., changes in the formulation, the production process, manufacturing site, and scale-up of the production process). A dissolution test can also be used to support the bioavailability of a new product and to support a request for a waiver of bioequivalence testing (16).

The dissolution profiles of PA and CA in tablets containing 500 mg PA/65 mg CA and 500 mg PA/30 mg CA are given in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. According to the USP (10), not less than 75% of the active ingredients of the label claims should be dissolved within 60 min. The results of the dissolution studies demonstrate that all tablets examined fulfilled this requirement. According to the Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS), CA is a Class 1 compound (high solubility and low permeability) (17). However, there are conflicting reports regarding the BCS classification of PA. It is classified as a Class 3 (high solubility and low permeability) compound by Kalantzi et al. (18). On the other hand, PA is classified as a Class 1 compound according to both the World Health Organization (19) and Benet et al. (20). In the BCS-based biowaiver guidance of the U.S. FDA (21), an immediate-release drug product is considered “rapidly dissolving” when not less than 85% of the labeled amount of the drug substance dissolves within 30 min in three dissolution media (pH 1.2, 4.5, and 6.8). On the other hand, drug products are considered as “very rapidly” dissolving by the European Medicines Agency (22) when more than 85% of the labeled amount is dissolved within 15 min in three dissolution media (pH 1.2, 4.5, and 6.8). When we evaluated our dissolution results, all tablets met the rapidly dissolving criterion for both PA and CA under the dissolution conditions used. Some of the tablets (A, B, E, F) even fulfilled the “very rapidly” dissolving criterion (Table 3). All of these results show that the above-mentioned conventional PA and CA combined dosage forms in the Turkish drug market fulfilled both the USP requirement (22–24) and criterion for the rapidly dissolving label.
During the manufacture of a solid dosage form such as tablets, a pharmaceutical company usually has to test a large number of QC samples obtained from content uniformity and dissolution studies. In general, HPLC is the method of choice in the pharmaceutical industry for the analysis of a wide variety of samples throughout the production of a dosage form. HPLC is used to check the purity of new drug candidates, monitor changes or the scale-up of synthetic procedures, perform in-process testing for new formulation development, and for QC/quality assurance of final drug products (25). In this study, we successfully applied the HPLC method for the determination of PA and CA in samples obtained from content uniformity and dissolution studies.

**CONCLUSION**

The HPLC method used in this study was successfully applied to content uniformity and dissolution studies. The results of the present study clearly demonstrate that different brands of conventional dosage forms containing PA and CA manufactured in Turkey fulfilled all QC tests. The weight variation results were in accordance with content uniformity results. Although the dissolution profile shapes were different for dosage forms labeled A–F, they all fulfilled the requirement of the USP monograph, and not less than 75% of the active ingredients as claimed on the label dissolved within 60 min. Also, all tablets met the “rapidly dissolving” criterion (over 85% of the labeled amount of the drug substance dissolved within 30 min). Collectively, all these results indicate that the PA- and CA-containing conventional dosage forms examined in this study fulfilled the requirements of the established quality control tests, and they can be used interchangeably.

### Table 3. Dissolution Properties of PA- and CA-Containing Tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Product Code</th>
<th>Very Rapidly Dissolving&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Rapidly Dissolving&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>USP Monograph Criteria&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Greater than or equal to 85% of the labeled amount dissolved in 15 min.

<sup>b</sup> Greater than or equal to 85% of the labeled amount dissolved in 30 min.

<sup>c</sup> Greater than or equal to 75% of the labeled amount dissolved in 60 min.

---

**Figure 2.** Dissolution profiles of PA from (a) A, B, C, D (500 mg PA, 30 mg CA) and (b) E, F (500 mg PA, 65 mg CA) coded tablets.

**Figure 3.** Dissolution profiles of caffeine from (a) A, B, C, D (500 mg PA, 30 mg CA) and (b) E, F (500 mg PA, 65 mg CA) coded tablets.
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